

13May22LVPSPeerReview

Wednesday, May 22, 2013
7:19 PM

LVPS Peer Review

Reviewee: David Do

Reviewers: Jim Johnson (SwRI), Samuel Kerem (APL), Uno Carlsson (APL), Steve Jaskulek (APL), Rick Cook (Caltech), Branislav Kecman (Caltech)

Chair: John Dickinson, Nigel Angold

Action item legend:

@[Actionee] AI ([Requestor]): [Action]

Actions:

@Dickinson AI (Jaskulek): Can the redundant primary side power signals from the spacecraft be tied together on the LVPS?

Where is the isolation protection between side A and B maintained: S/C or instrument?

@Dickinson AI (Jaskulek): Work with Project to determine how Op Heater power is received by instrument

Is it along with instr. primary power, or is it a separate service

@Do AI (Johnson): Look into freewheeling diodes around the normal mode inductors

Can reduced conducted emissions in EMI

@Do AI (Kerem): Consider fuses or series diodes across D8/D9 diodes to reduce single point failures

@Do AI (Johnson): Consider thermal analysis and part packaging for Q20

TO-205 can might not conduct heat adequately compared to the amount dissipated in the component

@Do AI (Johnson): Suggestion: fast-and-soft Shotkey across the switching FET (Q20)

An RC is another option, but is lossy

@Do AI (Johnson): Suggestion: Ferrite bead/small inductor for differential mode noise rejection on low voltage outputs

Differential inductance for the LC filter on the output

Add a freewheeling diode across the ferrite bead

@Do AI (Carlsson): Safety - Perform a short-circuit analysis for safety purposes on low-voltage outputs

Based on analysis results, possible design implications may be appropriate

@Do AI (Jaskulek): Verify that the resistance/capacitance between primary to secondary is within SPP EMI Spec

@Do AI (Kerem): Fix note "GNBP is tied to GND at U1-18"

@Do AI (Kerem/Kecman): Add a page/key that indicates how grounds are connected

@Do AI (everyone): Linear Regulator (U4) is positive feedback; should be negative

@Do AI (Jaskulek): Safety - what happens to other voltages when the a voltage rail is shorted?

Part of short-circuit analysis

@Do AI: (Johnson) consider Active current limiting on the output of the linear regulators to prevent going to cycle-by-cycle limiting on the switcher

@Do AI (Dickinson): Refine the output loads and provide capability table to EPI-Hi for review

@EPI-Hi AI (Cook): Review min/max voltage and determine where error budget is booked

Shouldn't all be kept at the LVPS

@Do AI (Jaskulek): If operational heater is added to primary power bus, do not include

heater power in primary current sense circuit

@Do AI (Kecman): EPI-Hi will supply the a thermistor (made to GSFC spec)

@EPI-Hi AI: Send thermistor datasheet/spec; Do will test the thermistor in board checkout

EPI-Hi will provide the component; Do will fabricate it (install on board)

@Do AI (EPI-Hi): Requests to do a quick EMI test on EM board

Conducted emissions is the only practical test

To be determined whether this is done at APL's or Caltech's facility

@EPI-Hi AI (Jaskulek): Provide mechanical information on LVPS EMI shield

@Dickinson AI (Jaskulek): Ensure Do has a contact for the thermal analysis

Answer: Greg Dirks at SwRI

@Do AI (EPI-Hi): Perform a follow-up layout review

Layout will be done in Expedition

@Dickinson AI: When does EPI-Hi need the LVPS in the schedule

From the LVPS spec: Jan 23, 2014

@Do AI (EPI-Hi): Indicate on schematic which portions should have primary vs. secondary side EMI shielding to leave room on parts placement for gold-plated footprint (to be incorporated by EPI-Hi mechanical engineering)

@Do AI (EPI-Hi, Dean): Suggestion: put electrostatic isolation between the primary and secondary transformer windings

Determine how/if it should be done

Meant to clean-up switching noise

Otherwise, you'll have a hard time meeting the spec for ripple

@Do AI (Johnson): Look at using a reset winding

Notes:

Design review focused on EPI-Hi LVPS Design

EPI-Lo LVPS review was held a few weeks ago

PWM Closed Loop analysis: Results: able to achieve:

60-70% phase margin

10dB gain margin

6V load is very steady; 12V varies

2.5% regulation capability

+6 is regulated on EPI-Hi boards; -6V/+12 is not regulated

All outputs are 0.1V above nominal name (for rails)

What is running off the -6V? only the bias supply, which is always on

The supply can turned down, but is always a load

Cook: who builds the transformers: APL, maybe outside supplier/vendor

As long as linear regulator circuit works (with the correct polarity), it is fine

However, Kerem and Johnson could not intuitively verify the operation of the circuit

EPI-Hi requests 2x capability over for max load

This could lead to design changes

Decision: Do to determine capability of design and EPI-Hi to respond concerning adequacy

Design employs low side current sense

EPI-Hi ADC system is 0-5V (0-4.5V would be very safe)

Gain of 10; 5V per 1A