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# Overview

## Introduction

Four microprocessors are dedicated to controlling, interfacing, and acquiring data from the three telescopes comprising the EPI-Hi instrument (Energetic Particle Instrument - High). This document defines the development plan for the flight software that will reside in these microprocessors.

Flight software for the EPI-Hi instrument microprocessors will be developed at the Caltech Space Radiation Laboratory (SRL). Previously, the Caltech group developed the flight software for the SIS and CRIS instruments on ACE, the SEP instrument suite on STEREO, the NuSTAR X-ray telescope mission, and for several balloon instruments.

## Document Conventions

Parameters encompassed by brackets such as [25]: The parameter is To Be Confirmed (TBC) and may be changed as the mission is refined. To Be Determined items are highlighted in red, and labeled TBD. To Be Resolved items are highlighted in red, and labeled TBR.

## Applicable Documents

Ref. 1 EPI-Hi Science Requirements Document

Ref. 2 ISIS Level 3 Requirements Document

Ref. 3 ISIS Level 4 Requirements Document

Ref. 4 EPI-Hi Flight Software Requirements Document

Ref. 5 SPP General Instrument Specification (GIS)

Ref. 6 P24 MISC Processor Manual

Ref. 7 EPI-Hi Flight Software Design Document

Ref. 8 EPI-Hi Inter-MISC command/data interface Document

Ref. 9 EPI-Hi Data Format Document

Ref. 10 EPI-Hi Flight Software Test Plan

Ref. 11 EPI-Hi Commanding and User Manual

Ref. 12 ISIS Risk Management Plan

Ref, 13 SWRI Software Quality Assurance Plan

Note: Official document IDs for these references are TBD

# Host System and Interfaces

## System Overview

The microprocessors used for the EPI-Hi instrument will be the FPGA-based P24 MISC (Minimal Instruction Set Computer), described below and in the P24 MISC Processor Manual (Ref. 6). The EPI-Hi MISCs are named:

* Data Processing Unit (DPU) MISC
* Low Energy Telescope 1 (LET1) MISC
* Low Energy Telescope 2 (LET2) MISC
* High Energy Telescope (HET) MISC

Data from the MISCs associated with the LET1, LET2 and HET telescopes (the peripheral MISCs) will be gathered by the DPU MISC and formatted for transmission to the spacecraft (per the SPP GIS, Ref. 5). Figure 2.1 shows a block diagram of the EPI-Hi instrument electronics system, showing internal and external interfaces.



Figure 2.1 – EPI-Hi Instrument Electronics block diagram

## MISC Microprocessor

The P24 MISC has a 24-bit CPU core with dual stack architecture intended to efficiently execute Forth-like instructions. The processor design is simple to allow implementation within field programmable gate arrays. The basic MISC processor design is in the public domain. For the EPI-Hi application, the MISC design is implemented in the ACTEL RTAX250SL FPGA, with Caltech SRL customizations developed for the STEREO mission. The MISC can be clocked at speeds up to 25MHz; we will use [14.7] MHz (TBC) for the EPI-Hi application. See the P24 MISC Processor Manual (Ref. 6) for more details.

### Memory Map

The MISC is capable of addressing a flat memory page of 224 = 16Mwords (each word is 24 bits). For the EPI-Hi application, the DPU MISC will have 128Kwords of SRAM, and each peripheral MISC will have 512Kwords of SRAM. The extra SRAM in the peripheral MISCs is provided for the large lookup tables used in the onboard particle identification software. Jump and call addresses are restricted to an 18 bit address range, so executable code needs to be within the lower 256Kwords of SRAM.

### Operating System

A Forth operating system with an embedded optimizing forth compiler is implemented.

This is the same operating system and compiler as used in the MISC systems developed for the STEREO and NuSTAR missions. As they are unchanged from those missions, they are already validated. Multi-tasking is implemented via a round-robin system inherited from the system implemented first in the Harris RTX2010 microprocessor used on ACE, and subsequently on the STEREO and NuSTAR missions

### Boot Loader and MRAM

Included in the FPGA implementation of each MISC is a small boot loader program that boots the system either directly via Magnetoresistive Random-Access Memory (MRAM), or over a serial link. For EPI-Hi, the DPU MISC is equipped with 2M×8 of MRAM. The other MISCs in the system always boot over the serial link with the DPU. The MRAM in the DPU can be updated via the command interface, initiated by a command that specifies page number, followed by fixed length binary data block. The protocols and formats for MRAM uploads are the same as for Caltech’s NuSTAR instrument, and will be documented in the EPI-Hi Commanding and User Manual (Ref. 11). MRAM uploads will utilize the instrument commanding interface described in the SPP GIS (Ref. 5).

Upon power up, the DPU MISC boot loader automatically boots a binary image from page 0 of MRAM. After power up, a re-boot may be initiated independent of the status of software execution, by sending over the command line a sequence of six consecutive "Z" characters followed by a character whose three least significant bits are written into special "reset field" hardware register. The two least significant bits control the operation of the boot loader. The least significant bit selects between serial boot (value 0) and boot from MRAM (value 1). In the case of reboot from MRAM the boot process occurs in three steps:

1. The FPGA resident boot loader boots a secondary boot loader from MRAM
2. The secondary loader conditionally dumps memory, and then boots the FORTH system
3. The FORTH system loads the "user" program.

The conditional memory dump is controlled by the third least bit of the reset field. The reset field register is also writable from s/w allowing all the reboot options to be exercised by FORTH commands. In the case of a watchdog timer initiated reboot the reset field is cleared, except for the third bit which is set, resulting in a memory dump followed by a reboot from MRAM page 0.

For the peripheral MISCs, the option to boot from MRAM is not available. Upon power up, the FPGA boot loader in these MISCs automatically configures the system to boot via the serial interface with the DPU. Software in the DPU manages the transfer of boot images or memory-dump programs to the peripheral MISCs via the serial interface. This peripheral MISC serial-boot scheme is inherited directly from the NuSTAR mission. Re-boots of the peripheral MISCs are initiated via the same command-line sequence as described above for the DPU MISC.

### I/O Bus (G-Buss) Peripherals

Currently, G-buss peripherals include an interrupt control and status register at address 0, supporting 15 prioritized interrupts. The interrupts are used to support serial I/O, the timer interrupt, and event interrupts.

## External Interfaces

### Interface between the EPI-Hi DPU and the spacecraft

The DPU MISC will interface with the spacecraft via two serial interfaces: command and telemetry (see Figure 2.1). These interfaces are defined in the SPP GIS. Commands, telemetry data and command responses from the DPU will be in the form of CCSDS packets enclosed within Instrument Transfer Frames (ITFs). The ITF protocols for transferring commands, command responses, and telemetry data over these interfaces are also defined in the EPI-Hi Instrument/Spacecraft ICD. Instrument telemetry data formats, and instrument CCSDS data packet definitions will be defined in the EPI-Hi Instrument Science Data Format document (Ref. 9).

### Interface between the DPU MISC and the LET1, LET2, and HET MISCs

There will be two RS422 serial interfaces between the DPU MISC and each of the three peripheral MISCs (LET1, LET1, and HET MISCs). The first interface will be bi-directional, for transferring boot-code, commands, and command responses. The second interface will be uni-directional, for transferring data from the peripheral MISCs to the DPU. These interfaces will be defined in the EPI-Hi Inter-MISC command/data interface Document (Ref. 8).

# Flight Software Tasks

The flight software requirements will be described in detail in the EPI-Hi Instrument Flight Software Requirements document (Ref. 4), and the Flight Software Design document (Ref. 7). The top-level tasks that the EPI-Hi flight software will perform are listed in Tables 3.1 and 3.2 below.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **DPU MISC Flight Software Task** | **Heritage (Est.)** |
| Forth operating system and low-level I/O routines | 95% |
| Power-on and initialization sequence management | 60% |
| Peripheral MISC serial boot sequence management | 80% |
| Housekeeping data collection | 50% \* |
| Inter-MISC communication management | 90% |
| Setup and control of instrument HV, bias supply, heaters, and other electronics systems | 50% \* |
| Monitor status, and time-synchronization data from the spacecraft, and perform autonomous mode adjustments as needed | 10% |
| Management of software uploads and MRAM burns | 75% |
| Formatting and transfer of science & housekeeping data and command responses to the Spacecraft | 50% \* |
| Monitor heartbeat from peripheral MISCs, and perform autonomous diagnostics/reboot as needed | 10% |
| Manage volume of instrument data transferred to SSRs on S/C as function of time | 0% |
| \* general scheme is inherited, specifics are unique | |

Table 3.1: DPU MISC Flight Software Tasks

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **LET1, LET2, and HET MISC Flight Software Tasks** – each MISC performs a similar set of functions: | **Heritage (Est.)** |
| Forth operating system and low-level I/O routines | 95% |
| Science data acquisition | 50% \* |
| Science data processing and reduction (particle ID) | 20% |
| Housekeeping data acquisition | 50% \* |
| Processing of status, time-synchronization, and command data from the EPI-Hi DPU | 50% \* |
| Monitor key counting rates and adjust the telescope operating condition to optimize data quality | 80% |
| Monitor temperatures and adjust detector gain/offset settings to compensate for temperature variations | 0% |
| Formatting and transfer of science & housekeeping data and command responses to the EPI-Hi DPU | 50% \* |
| Setup and control of any instrument HV, bias supply, heaters, etc. not controlled by the EPI-Hi DPU. | 50% \* |
| Dynamic adjustment of detector thresholds during periods of high particle intensity | 80% |

Table 3.2: LET1, LET2, HET MISC Flight Software Tasks

## Heritage and Reuse

Previously, the Caltech group developed the flight software for the following space missions: SIS and CRIS instruments on ACE, the SEP instrument suite on STEREO, and, most recently, the NuSTAR mission. Some software modules developed for these projects will be reused almost unchanged for EPI-Hi. Some others will be reused with varying degrees of modification. Preliminary estimates of the degree of heritage for the various software tasks are listed in Tables 3.1 and 3.2.

# Software Development Plan

“Top-down” and “Bottom-up” approaches to software development will run in parallel for the DPU and peripheral MISCs. The Top-down approach can be divided into four phases:

1. Requirements definition and analysis
2. Design
3. Implementation
4. System testing and acceptance testing

The activities occurring during each of these phases are detailed below. During the requirements definition and design phases, the following Bottom-up activities will occur:

1. Gain familiarity with MISC processor, using small test routines
2. Gather together a suitable set of tools for MISC software development, including MISC simulator, serial communication software, version control software, etc.
3. Verify Forth system on MISC with standard software test suite
4. Prototype onboard data processing algorithms

NOTE: for the EPI-Hi application, most of these bottom-up activities have already taken place, given the direct heritage from the STEREO and NuSTAR projects.

By the time the implementation phase of the Top-down approach begins, the Bottom-up approach will have resulted in a stable hardware platform and operating system, and software development tools adequate for implementing the software design.

## Top-down Software Development Phases

Although the development phases listed below can be thought of as dividing the software development period into consecutive non-overlapping time periods, activities associated with one phase may be performed in other phases, e.g. most design activity will occur during the design phase, but some preliminary design work may be performed during the requirements definition phase.

### Requirements Definition and Analysis Phase

During this phase, Caltech will develop a set of science requirements for EPI-Hi. These requirements will be recorded in the EPI-Hi Science Requirements Document (Ref. 4). At the same time, Caltech will also develop preliminary designs of the detectors and the front-end electronics.

Using the science requirements, the preliminary instrument and electronics designs, the SPP GIS, the ISIS Level 3 and Level 4 Requirements, and consultations with other EPI-Hi team members, the Caltech lead engineer and software developer will derive a set of software requirements and interface specifications for each MISC. These requirements and specifications will define what data flows into and out of each MISC, the operations each MISC will perform on the data, and the interactions that will occur between the DPU MISC and the peripheral MISCs. The specifications will also define the relevant properties of the host system, such as memory requirements, I/O peripherals, safing and reliability requirements, etc. All these requirements will be defined in the EPI-Hi Flight Software Requirements document (Ref. 4).

### Design Phase

During this phase, software developers will define the software architecture that will meet the software requirements. The requirements will be sorted into subsystems and all internal and external interfaces will be defined. The design phase will result in a set of design specifications that will include the following:

* Functional design diagrams
* Detailed descriptions of all inputs, outputs, and data formats
* Data processing algorithms
* Instrument inter-MISC communications protocols
* P24 MISC processor manual
* Command lists and protocols
* Other TBD design specs

The P24 MISC processor manual already exists. The other design specs will be documented in the EPI-Hi Software Design and Data Format documents (Refs. 7 & 9).

### Implementation Phase

In this phase, developers will build software from the design specifications. The software will be written in Forth and assembly language. Assembly language will be used when optimization is required for performance reasons. Coding guidelines and standards used on the STEREO and NuSTAR mission will be carried over to this project, given that the same lead engineer and software developer are also carried over to this project.

### System Testing and Acceptance Phase

End-to-end sensor, electronics, and software functional tests will be done using radiation sources, built-in self-test routines and test procedures, and instrument calibrations at energetic particle accelerator facilities.

In addition, simulation data from Monte Carlo models of the EPI-Hi telescopes will be used to test the EPI-Hi onboard processing software. The software acceptance test plan is described in section 4.3.2 below.

Software walkthroughs will occur at software peer reviews, and reports and status of action items will be presented at instrument PDR, CDR, and other Project reviews (see Section 5.2).

## Development Environment and Equipment Needed

Several MISC development boards are already available for use from previous missions. The Forth system running on the MISC development boards can be controlled via a serial link to a PC. Code can be uploaded via this serial link and thus development/test of software can easily take place directly on the MISC development boards. Early in the design phase, MISC development boards specific to EPI-Hi will be designed and manufactured in-house. These boards will feature the same SRAM, memory-management, boot-sequence, and serial-IO features as will be used for EPI-Hi EM units flight hardware.

As noted in 2.2.2, the same Forth operating system and compiler as used in the MISC systems developed for the STEREO and NuSTAR missions will be used for EPI-Hi. As they are unchanged from those missions, they are already validated.

Early builds of the instrument EGSE that will interface to these development boards and EM-units will also be delivered early in the design phase.

## Product Assurance

The following is based on the approach taken on STEREO and NuSTAR for flight software version control, configuration control and flight software integrity assurance. This same approach will be taken for the EPI-Hi flight software development at Caltech.

Southwest Research Institute (SwRI) will provide QA support for EPI-Hi software development. Together with the SWRI QA team, we will ensure that our software development process is in compliance with the following NASA standards:

* NPR 7150.2a NASA Software Engineering Requirements
* NASA-STD-8719.13 rev B NASA Software Safety Standard
* NASA-STD-8739.8 w/Change 1 NASA Standard for Software Assurance

A compliance matrix documenting the compliance of the EPI-Hi Flight Software project with the requirements of NASA NPR 7150.2A for Class C not safety-critical software is included in Appendix A.

### Software Version Control/Backup Plan

* Only one person will be authorized to load flight software into flight MISCs – the lead electrical engineer.
* Version control and software archiving will be achieved via the Subversion version control system (SVN) (<http://subversion.apache.org/>). The subversion server is hosted and maintained by Caltech Space Radiation Lab IT personnel. It is access-controlled for security, and is backed-up regularly.
* The lead engineer will maintain the structure of the SVN repository, including separate directories for each of the MISC’s flight software, separate directories for different software builds, etc.
* Beginning in the implementation phase, a Software Development Log will be maintained by the lead engineer containing software change requests, the date of any change, a description of the change, and the reason for the change.
* One software developer will be working under the lead engineer. The developer will be required to use the SVN system to maintain version control for his/her portion of the code.
* At the end of each day of software work, the lead engineer and software developer will ensure that all software modules are checked-in to the appropriate location in the SVN repository.
* At the end of each day of software work, the lead engineer will also backup the current flight software directories on his computer to a different computer and/or to removable media.
* Periodically, the software developer will deliver his/her portion of the code to the lead engineer, who will incorporate it into the current flight software build.
* There will never be more than one person working on the same piece of code. If a change is required, the responsible developer will make the change and re-deliver new code to the lead engineer.
* During the MRAM burn-in process, checksums will be calculated and recorded. During boot of a flight MISC, the MRAMs will be read and same checksum will be calculated and typed out. Formal checkout procedures will include recording these checksums and verifying the proper values.
* All new software will be tested first on MISC development boards and EM units prior to being loaded into flight hardware.
* After the beginning of integration and test with flight hardware, all flight software changes will be under configuration management, per the procedures described in Section 4.3.3 below.

### Software Acceptance Test Plan

The EPI-Hi Flight Software Test Plan document (Ref. 10) will define this plan in detail. This plan will include a software requirements verification matrix.

* Software tests will start at the module level. As the code builds up, system-level testing will start, and the majority of the test time will be targeted at the system level.
* A Test Readiness Review will precede formal acceptance testing. SPP Project and ISIS personnel will oversee/participate in this review.
* Formal acceptance tests will be performed on the EPI-Hi instrument, including the flight software, during the EPI-Hi integration and test phase.
* During environmental tests and SPP I&T, more experience will be gained with the flight software, real sensor data, and with controlling the instrument via the SOC-MOC interfaces. Flight software changes may be expected as a result. Any changes in the flight software at this stage will result in CCB review, and a repeat of these acceptance tests.
* The acceptance tests for the EPI-Hi flight software will be designed to verify each of the software functional requirements as called out in the requirements documents (Ref. 1 - Ref. 4) and also the functions provided by the Forth operating system, the I/O API, and the multitasking software running on the MISC processors.
* A software requirements verification matrix will be created and maintained by the EPI-Hi team to aid in verifying that the software meets the requirements. The matrix will be available for review by the SPP Project. A preliminary software requirements verification matrix will be presented at CDR and a final version will be presented at the Software Acceptance Review, following successful completion of the acceptance tests.
* A Software Acceptance Review will follow the successful completion of the acceptance tests, and the EPI-Hi team will present a test report. SPP Project will oversee/participate in this review.

### Software Configuration Management

All problems and change requests will be documented and tracked in the Software Development Log by the lead engineer (see Section 4.3.1). Given such a small development team, a change request tool such as JIRA is an unnecessary overhead.

After the beginning of integration and test with flight hardware, all flight software changes will be approved by a Configuration Control Board (CCB) prior to being loaded into flight hardware. Also after this point, Version Description Documents (VDDs) will accompany all Software releases. The VDD will contain the functionality of the build/release, a list of closed software problem reports, a list of any liens/workarounds, installation instructions, and a list of deliverable source code files.

The CCB membership will consist of the EPI-Hi lead engineer and software developer, and the Epi-Hi Project Manager, plus any other ISIS or SPP Project engineers that the QA team at SWRI deems necessary.

### Risk Assessment

As much as possible, the software utilizes elements flown on previous Caltech instruments and the core software (the Forth OS) is unchanged and flight-proven. Risk-mitigation efforts therefore focus largely on the EPI-Hi-specific elements. Risks will be managed in accordance with ISIS Risk Management Plan (Ref. 12).

### Software Maintenance after Delivery

After the EPI-Hi instrument is delivered, the ETUs, together with the supporting GSE, will be maintained at Caltech. Any problems can be recreated and diagnosed in this environment. Flight software changes will be run through an acceptance test on the ETU and CCB review prior to loading into the flight hardware. Every effort will be used to keep the flight software programmers available (though probably on another program) to make any necessary changes through the life of the program. Software documentation will be adequate to allow another programmer to understand and make changes to the software if necessary.

### Software Metrics

Software quality metrics will be collected and reviewed by the SWRI QA team.

The SWRI QA team will define the details of the metrics to be collected, in accordance with the SWRI Software Quality Assurance Plan (Ref. 13). These metrics will include:

* Number of SQA Surveillances (Planned vs. Actual)
* Number of SQA Assessment Findings or Noncompliance (Open vs. Closed)
* Number of SQA Assessment Observations
* Number of Peer Reviews (Planned vs. Actual)
* Number of Open vs. Closed Action Items from Peer Reviews
* Number of Open vs. Closed Software Problem Reports

# Management Plan

## Build Plan

Code will be developed using many incremental builds. The Forth environment is extremely modular in nature, with complex software structures being built from many smaller, simpler structures that are independently developed and tested. The following software development milestones have been identified in the instrument schedule, and these apply to each of the following modules: DPU, LET1, LET2, and HET.

* Build 1 – Support Initial Logic Board. Includes Forth OS, and low-level electronics and serial interfaces. Preliminary data processing routines.
* Build 2 – Support EM unit integration. Commands and telemetry processing.
* Build 3 – Support accelerator calibration of EPI-Hi telescopes.
* Build 4 – Support flight unit integration. Final data processing routines.
* Final Build – Final Flight software.

## Software Reviews

Reviews by Project personnel of the software requirements and development will occur several times during the development and implementation phases. At these reviews, metrics including CPU margin, RAM and MRAM margin, and open software issues will be presented. Action items from these reviews will be captured and the status of these action items will be presented at later reviews.

A Flight Software Requirements review will be held with the EPI-Hi team and ISIS and SPP Project personnel attending to ensure that the documented requirements are complete and clear. This review will occur at the end of the requirements definition and analysis phase.

At Instrument PDR and CDR, the flight software development and design will be reviewed.

A flight software walkthrough will be held with ISIS and SPP Project personnel a few months before the acceptance tests are scheduled.

A software acceptance review will be held after the acceptance tests.

## Documentation Deliverables

The EPI-Hi Flight Software will be documented as follows:

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Document** | **Delivery Schedule** |
| P24 MISC Processor Manual (Ref. 6) | Instrument PDR |
| EPI-Hi Instrument Flight Software Requirements Document (4) | Instrument PDR |
| EPI-Hi Instrument Flight Software Design Document (Ref. 7) | Instrument CDR |
| EPI-Hi Data Format Document (Ref. 9) | Beginning of I&T |
| EPI-Hi Flight Software Test Plan (Ref. 10) | Instrument CDR |
| Software Development Log | Beginning of I&T |
| EPI-Hi Commanding and User Manual (Ref. 11) | Beginning of I&T |
| Source code comments | Beginning of I&T |

## Staff and Schedule

Lead engineer and software developer: Rick Cook, Caltech SRL

Software developer: Andrew Davis, Caltech SRL.

The Flight Software schedule is maintained in the Instrument Schedule (a separate Microsoft Project document).

Software maintenance following delivery of the EPI-Hi instrument to the spacecraft will be on an as-needed basis.

# Appendix A: NPR 7150 Compliance Matrix

This compliance matrix documents the compliance of the EPI-Hi Flight Software project with the requirements of NASA NPR 7150.2A Software Engineering Requirements for Class C not safety-critical software. NPR 7150.2A requirements not pertaining to Class C software have been omitted from the matrix.

X - "Required" - Responsible party is required to meet the requirement as written.

P (Center) - Per approved Center defined process which meets a non-empty subset of the full requirement.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Section of NPR** | **Requirement Descriptor\*** | **SWE Requirement #** | **Class C** | **Compliance** |
| **Preface** | *Effective Date* | 1 | X | Y |
| **SW Life Cycle Planning** | *SW Plan* | 13 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Execute Plan* | 14 | X | Y |  |
| *Cost Estimation* | 15 | X | Y | Project Task Plan |
| *Schedule* | 16 | X | Y | SDP, Project Schedule |
| *Training* | 17 | X | Y |  |
| *Reviews* | 18 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Life Cycle* | 19 | X | Y | SDP |
| *SW Classification* | 20 | X | Y | SWRI QA |
| *SW Classification changes* | 21 | X | Y | SWRI QA |
| *SW Assurance* | 22 | X | Y | SWRI QA |
| *SW Safety* | 23 | X | N/A | Not safety-critical software |
| *Plan Tracking* | 24 | X | Y | Project Scheduler, Task lead |
| *Corrective Action* | 25 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Changes* | 26 | X | Y | SDP |
| **Off The Shelf (OTS) SW** | *COTS, GOTS, MOTS* | 27 | X | Y |  |
| **Verification & Validation** | *Verification planning* | 28 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Validation planning* | 29 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Verification results* | 30 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Validation results* | 31 | X | Y | SDP |
| **Project Formulation** | *CMM L3 or CMMI L2* | 32 | P (Center) | N/A | In House Development |
| *Options for Acquisition* | 33 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *Acceptance Criteria* | 34 | X | Y | Test Plan |
| *Supplier Selection* | 35 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *SW processes & tasks* | 36 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Milestone* | 37 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Acquisition planning* | 38 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| **Government Insight** | *Insight into test* | 39 | P (Center) | N/A | In House Development |
| *Electronic access* | 40 | P (Center) | N/A | In House Development |
| *Open source* | 41 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *Source code access* | 42 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| **Supplier Monitoring** | *Track change request* | 43 | P (Center) | N/A | In House Development |
| *SW measurement data* | 44 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *Joint audits* | 45 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *SW schedule* | 46 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *Traceability data* | 47 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| *Solicitation* | 48 | X | N/A | In House Development |
| **SW Requirements Development** | *Document* | 49 | X | Y | SDP, Software Reqs Doc |
| *SW requirements* | 50 | X | Y | SDP, Software Reqs Doc |
| *Flow-down & derived req.* | 51 | X | Y | SDP, Software Reqs Doc |
| **SW Requirements Management** | *Traceability* | 52 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Manage req. change* | 53 | X | Y | SDP |
| *Requirements Validation* | 55 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| **SW Design** | *Document design* | 56 | X | Y | SDP, SW Design Doc |
| *Architecture* | 57 | P (Center) | Y | SDP, SW Design Doc |
| **SW Implementation** | *Design --> code* | 60 | X | Y | SDP, SW Design Doc |
| *Unit test* | 62 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| *Version Description* | 63 | P (Center) | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| **SW Testing** | *Plan, procedures, reports* | 65 | X | Y | Test Plan |
| *Perform testing* | 66 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| *Test for compliance* | 67 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan, V&V matrix |
| *Evaluate test results* | 68 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| *Doc. defect & track* | 69 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan |
| *Update plans & procedures* | 71 | X | Y |  |
| *Maintain Traceability* | 72 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan, V&V matrix |
| *Platform or Hi-Fidelity sim.* | 73 | X | Y | SDP, Test Plan, V&V matrix |
| **SW Operations, Maintenance, and Retirement** | *Document Maint. Plan* | 74 |  | N/A | Maint. Plan not Reqd for Class C\*\* |
| *Plan Ops, maint., & ret.* | 75 |  | N/A | Maint. Plan not Reqd for Class C\*\* |
| *Implement Plans* | 76 |  | N/A | Maint. Plan not Reqd for Class C\*\* |
| *Deliver SW Products* | 77 |  | N/A | Maint. Plan not Reqd for Class C\*\* |
| *Documentation* | 78 |  | N/A | Maint. Plan not Reqd for Class C\*\* |
| **SW Configuration Management** | *Develop CM Plan* | 79 | X | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| *Track & Evaluate Changes* | 80 | X | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| *Identify SW Configuration Items* | 81 | X | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| *Maintain Records* | 83 | X | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| *Implement Procedures* | 85 | X | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| **SW Peer Reviews, Inspections** | *Reqs, test plans, design & code* | 87 | X | Y | SWRI QA Reviews |
| *Checklist, criteria & tracking* | 88 | P (Center) | Y | SWRI QA |
| **SW Measurement** | *Objectives* | 90 | X | Y | SDP, SWRI QA |
| *SW Measurement Areas* | 91 | P (Center) | Y | SDP, SWRI QA |
| *Collection & Storage* | 92 | X | Y | SDP, SWRI QA |
| *Analyze Data* | 93 | P (Center) | Y | SDP, SWRI QA |
| *Report Analysis* | 94 | P (Center) | Y | SDP, SWRI QA |
| **SW Documentation Requirements** | *Plan* | 102 | P (Center) | Y | SDP |
| *SW CM Plan* | 103 | P (Center) | Y | CM Plan, SDP |
| *SW Test Plan* | 104 | P (Center) | Y | Test Plan |
| *SW Maintenance Plan* | 105 |  | N/A | Not Reqd for Class C |
| *SW Reqs Spec.* | 109 | P (Center) | Y | SW Reqs Doc |
| *SW Data Dictionary* | 110 | P (Center) | Y | Data Format Doc, User Manual |
| *SW Design Description* | 111 | P (Center) | Y | SW Design Doc |
| *Interface Design Description* | 112 | P (Center) | Y | SW Design Doc |
| *Problem Report* | 113 | (Center) | Y |  |
| *SW Test Procedures* | 114 | X | Y | Test Plan |
| *SW Version Description* | 116 | P (Center) | Y |  |
| *SW Metrics Report* | 117 | P (Center) | Y |  |
| *SW Test Report* | 118 | P (Center) | Y | Test Plan |
| *SW Inspection/Peer review* | 119 | P (Center) | Y | SWRI QA |
| **Compliance** | *Compliance Matrix* | 125 | X | Y | This Document |
| **SW Lifecycle Planning** | *Assessment* | 132 | X | Y | SWRI QA |
| *SW Safety Determination* | 133 | X | Y | SWRI QA |
| **SW Implementation** | *Static Analysis* | 135 | X | N/A | In House Development Tools |
|  | *Validation of SW Dev tools* | 136 | X | Y | Validated for previous missions |
| **SW Peer Reviews/**  **Inspections** | *Peer Review/inspections of SW plans* | 137 | P  (Center) | Y | SWRI QA Reviews |
| **Compliance** | *“Shall” statements in NPR* | 139 | X | Y |  |
| *“P (Center)”* | 140 | X | Y |  |

\* See Requirements in NPR 7150.2A for full description

\*\* See Class C columns for SWE# 105, Appendix D, NPR 7150.2A

# Appendix B Acronyms

CCB Change Control Board

CCSDS Consultative Committee for Space Data Systems

CDR Critical Design Review

EPI-Hi Energetic Particle Instrument - High Energy

DPU Data Processing Unit

EM Engineering Model

ETU Engineering Test Unit

FPGA Field Programmable Gate Array

GIS General Interface Specification

GSE Ground Support Equipment

HET High Energy Telescope

HV High Voltage

ICD Interface Control Document

ISIS Integrated Science Investigation of the Sun

IT Information Technology

I&T Integration and Test

ITF Instrument Transfer Frame

LET Low Energy Telescope

MISC Minimal Instruction Set Computer

MRAM Magnetoresistive Random-Access Memory

OS Operating System

PDR Preliminary Design Review

QA Quality Assurance

SPP Solar Probe Plus

SRAM Static Random-Access Memory

SVN Apache Subversion version control system

VDD Version Description Document

VLSI Very Large Scale Integration