EPI-Hi Technology Development

Outline

- objective
- approach
- development strategy and status
- fidelity of test article
- test performed
- transition to flight

Objective

Develop new approach to fabricating multi-element ionimplanted silicon solid-state detectors thinner than ~30µm with the following features:

- \bullet thicknesses in the range ~10 to 30 μm
- \bullet good control of absolute thickness and detector-to-detector variation (±1 μm)
- good thickness uniformity (~0.2% or better rms variation) to allow good species resolution (e.g., He isotope separation)
- mechanical robustness to provide good manufacturing yield and to survive launch environment without breaking

Approach

 fabrication based on commercial silicon-on-insulator wafers (SOI)

- detector pn junctions produced on device layer of SOI using conventional ion-implantation technology
- supporting handle layer etched away under portion of the wafer containing detector active area
- thin SiO₂ interface between the two wafers acts as etch stop that makes thickness control and uniformity independent of etch rate variations
- remaining thick "picture frame" immediately outside the thinned region provides robust mechanical support and avoids the need to wirebond to the fragile thin membrane
- production of ohmic contact and dicing into individual detectors done after thinning

Thin Silicon Detector Fabrication Process Summary



PLACE-HOLDER SLIDE

SOI THICKNESS CHARACTERISTICS:

• THICKNESS COMPARISON BETWEEN THINNED TEST SAMPLES OF SOI AND CONVENTIONAL (STEREO/LET) DETECTORS

• MANUFACTURER DATA ON THICKNESS UNIFORMITY OF SOI PURCHASED FOR EPI-Hi THIN DETECTOR DEVELOPMENT

• THICKNESSES UNIFORMITY INFERENCES FROM LBNL ACCELERATOR TEST DATA

Development Strategy and Status

Background

- prototyping studies carried out by a collaboration between LBNL (diode fabrication) and Caltech/JPL since 2003
- prior Caltech/JPL collaboration with Micron Semiconductor (Lancing, Sussex, England) allowed them to develop the capability for making thin, supported detectors from conventional silicon wafers; thickness control and uniformity were did not meet specifications

<u>Phase B Activity</u>

- efforts to prototype EPI-Hi thin detectors from SOI wafers has been funded during phase B both at Micron and LBNL
- testing and evaluation being carried out by the manufacturers and by Caltech/JPL and GSFC

Flight Detectors

plan to down-select to a single source for flight detectors based on test results

Prototype Thin Detectors

L0 Detector from MIcron Semiconductor



L1 Detector from LBNL



Fidelity of the Test Article

flight detectors are expected to be identical to the prototypes

- the same photolithography masks will be used
- no changes are anticipated in process parameters (ion implantation energy, annealing temperature and time, etc.)
- it presently appears that a sufficient supply of SOI wafers may be left over from the phase B work to allow fabrication of all of the flight detectors and spares
- no changes are anticipated in the detector mounts (provided by GSFC to both LBNL and Micron)

possible exceptions

• if expected risk from dust impacts is judged to be excessive, a modest increase in detector thickness (e.g., $12\mu m -> 15\mu m$) could be considered

• if LBNL is selected to make flight detectors, adhesive used for gluing detectors into mounts may be changed to that conventionally used by Micron

Tests Performed

electrical characteristics

- leakage current versus bias (IV) —> maximum operating voltage
- capacitance versus bias (CV) —> bias required for full depletion

particle response

- alpha particles from ²⁴⁴Cm source (5.8 MeV —> 1.45 MeV/nuc)
- accelerator beams of heavy ions

thickness characteristics

 thickness and thickness uniformity inferred from particle response tests checked against expectations from SOI characteristics

stability in expected environment

- thermal-vacuum life test at GSFC—our standard test for flight qualification of all silicon detectors
- total dose testing using ⁶⁰Co gamma-ray source at JPL

mechanical robustness

• acoustic test of mechanical model made from thinned SOI



Plots of electrical characteristics

- IV curve(s)
- CV curve(s)





PLACE-HOLDER SLIDE

Acoustic Test of Mechanical Sample L0







silicon membrane 10 μm thick ~3.4 cm diameter



POSSIBLE PLACE-HOLDER SLIDE

PLOTS OF PARTICLE TEST RESULTS— ALPHAS

He mass histogram made using alpha particles—comparison with expected resolution

Possibly easier alternative to consider:

 mono-energetic alpha particle ΔE and E' distributions compared with expectations



Accelerator Test with Heavy-Ion Beams



To be replaced with version including more elements (from LBNL and from alpha-particle tests at Caltech). Use improve calibration of the energy scale that has been derived by Rick Leske. Use reasonable data cuts (the plots above use no cuts at all) and reduce quantity of points shown in regions where the plots would otherwise be saturated. Label calculated element tracks. List the beams that were used.

Thermal-Vacuum Stability Test



To be replaced updated version including as long a time interval as possible at 40°C.

Questions for Tycho:

- why does the noise decrease going from room temperature to 30°C?
- what is the noise level with the detector not connected?

Radiation Tolerance Testing

• thin detectors will receive high radiation dose because, of necessity, there is very little shielding in front of them

- estimated total dose to the LO detector over the SPP mission is ... (RAM to provide value and to ask the project if they agree).
- one L1 detector from each manufacturer has been subjected to a total dose test at the JPL high-dose-rate facility (⁶⁰Co source)
- detectors irradiated in the dark with bias applied to simulate conditions in flight
- irradiations done in a series of increasing steps with measurements of characteristics after each step
- step 1 (16 Oct 2013): 100 krads
- step 2 (17-18 Oct 2013): 1 Mrad
- step 3 ???

include plot or table summarizing measurements

Transition to Flight

• extend selected prototype tests to cover additional detectors that have been fabricated in phase B—determine whether there are any detector-to-detector differences that might affect

- yield
- selection of manufacturer for flight detectors
- test program needed for flight devices
- select manufacturer for flight detectors